Data Analysis on Registered Workers

Trade : Metal Scaffolder

For the period 1/5/2016 to 30/4/2017 (12 months)

Sept										
end	Registere		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	Ratio
Lotal renewal of expired registration from previous periods										
Provide Prov								<u> </u>		
Page								<u> </u>		
Tennewal of expired registration from previous periods 1857 101,23% 22 1,23% 1755										
DAR > 0 against nr. of registered workers All 11 to 24 11 to 25 1										
Balanst nr. of registered workers Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different that the numbers may differ slightly clue to the Johannic naturu of the date of the Color of the Col		renewal of expired registration from previous periods	1807	101.23%	-22	-1.23%			1785	
Balanst nr. of registered workers Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different that the numbers may differ slightly clue to the Johannic naturu of the date of the Color of the Col										
against nr. of registered workers Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Against nr. of sligh	DAR > 0						RGW	Ratio		
DAR = 0 against nr. of registered workers RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio RSS RATIO										
## 1915 ## 83.59% 226 16.41% 1.9377 ## 1925 ## 1925 ## 1925 13.59% 1.948 1.947 ## 1925 ## 192		against nr. of registered workers	4922	77.08%	721	69.21%			5643	76.08%
## 1915 ## 83.59% 226 16.41% 1.9377 ## 1925 ## 1925 ## 1925 13.59% 1.948 1.947 ## 1925 ## 192										
against nr. of registered workers Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different has the numbers may differ eightly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different has the numbers may differ eightly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different has the numbers may differ eightly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different has the numbers may differ eightly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different has the numbers may differ eightly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different has the numbers may differ eightly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for the data extraction	DAR = 0						RGW	Ratio		
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age										
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (data of the data) set)		against nr. of registered workers	4922	23.38%	721	31.35%			5643	24.40%
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (data of the data) set)										
Age Frolie (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age prolie are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Rabin	DAR > 0						RGW			
Bellow 20		average working days per week	2.63		2.33				2.59	
Bellow 20										
Below 20	Age Profil	e (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different the								
20 - 29 319 67 73% 152 32.27% 471 8.35% 3.32 3.5% 40 - 49 1703 89.21% 206 10.79% 1909 33.83% 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60 - 60					RSS		RGW	Ratio	All	Ratio
143 85.75% 190 14.25% 1333 23.67% 190 14.25% 1333 23.67% 190 14.25% 1333 23.67% 190 33.88% 190 14.25% 190 33.88% 190 14.25% 190 190 190 18.25% 190 14.25% 190 190 190 190 18.25% 190 14.25% 190 1				0.00%		0.00%				0.00%
40 - 49 703 89.21% 206 0.79% 1.999 33.83% 60 or above 465 91.18% 128 0.90% 1.28 0.91% 1.420 2.05% 1.420 0.95% 1.420 0.										
So - 50 1292 90.99% 129										23.62%
Bod nahow Total May 22 87.2% \$1.19% \$4.5 8.82% \$5.10 9.04%										33.83%
Properties of the Control of the C										25.16%
Phone Survey conducted from Feb - May 2017 - 1287 samples drawn with 180 successful interviews										
DAR > 0 RSW RSS RGW All		Tota	4922	87.22%	721	12.78%			5643	100.00%
DAR > 0 RSW RSS RGW All										
Solid	Phone Su	urvey conducted from Feb - May 2017 - 1287 samples drawn with 180 succe	essful inter	views						
Solid										
S.15 S.17 S.04 S.04 S.08	DAR > 0		RSW		RSS		RGW		All	
DAR = 0 working in construction industry average working days per week 4.27 4.53 4.53 4.431 4.27 4.53 4.27 4.27 4.53 4.27 4		average working days per week	5.15						5.08	
A		with card record	5.15		4.17				5.04	
A										
A	DAR = 0	working in construction industry		61.67%		50.85%				59.89%
A 22 A 53 A 27		average working days per week	4.27		4.53				4.31	
Average nr. of workers on any one day 1401 86.70% 215 13.30% 1616		with card record	4.22		4.53				4.27	
Average nr. of workers on any one day 1401 86.70% 215 13.30% 1616										
Average nr. of workers on any one day 1401 86.70% 215 13.30% 1616										_
RSW	DAR Snap	pshot	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day without card record 2743 87.69% 335 12.31% 3165 3125 88.83% 316 12.31% 3165 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3165 3125 88.83% 3160 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3165 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 31		average nr. of workers on any one day	1401	86.70%	215	13.30%			1616	
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day without card record 2743 87.69% 335 12.31% 3165 3125 88.83% 316 12.31% 3165 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3165 3125 88.83% 3160 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3165 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 88.83% 312 31% 3125 31										
with card record without card record 2743 87.69% 385 12.31% 3128 98.83% For DAR = 0 projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record without card record without card record RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio AII Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry without card record without card record without card record on any one day with card record on any one day with card record on any one day without card record on any one day without card record any one day without card record on any one day without card record any one day witho	For DAR :	> 0	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
without card record 0 0.00% 37 100.00% 37 1.17%		projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day	2743	86.67%	422	13.33%			3165	
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry with card record without card record without card record 433 85,0% 74 14,60% 507 428 85,26% 74 14,74% 502 99,01% 5 100,00% 0 0,00% 5 0,99%		with card record	2743	87.69%	385	12.31%			3128	98.83%
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry with card record without card record 428 85.26% 74 14.60% 502 99.01% 433 85.40% 74 14.60% 502 99.01% 428 85.26% 74 14.74% 502 99.01% 74 74 74 75 75 75 75 75		without card record	0	0.00%	37	100.00%			37	1.17%
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry with card record without card record 428 85.26% 74 14.60% 502 99.01% 433 85.40% 74 14.60% 502 99.01% 74 14.60% 502 99.01% 74 14.60% 502 99.01% 75 100.00% 75										
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record without card record 428 85.26% 74 14.60% 507 99.01% 428 85.26% 74 14.74% 502 99.01% 428 85.26% 74 14.74% 7	For DAR =	= 0	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
with card record 428 85.26% 74 14.74% 502 99.01% b 5 100.00% 0 0.00% 5 0.99% Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record on any one day without card record on any one day without card record 3171 87.36% 459 12.64% 3630 98.86% on any one day without card record 5 11.90% 37 88.10% 42 1.14% to 14.4% Total 3176 86.49% 496 13.51% 3630 98.86% on any one day without card record 5 11.90% 37 88.10% 42 1.14% to 14.4% Total 3176 86.49% 496 13.51% 3630 98.86% on any one day without card record 42 1.14% to 14.4% 3630 98.86% on any one day without card record 42 1.14% to 14.4% to 14		projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry	710	86.06%	115	13.94%			825	
S 100.00% O 0.00% S 0.99%		projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day	433	85.40%	74	14.60%			507	
RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All		with card record	428	85.26%	74	14.74%			502	99.01%
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record 3171 87.36% 459 12.64% 3630 98.86% on any one day without card record 5 11.90% 37 88.10% 42 1.14% Total 3176 86.49% 496 13.51% 3672 RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR>0 DAR=0		without card record	5	100.00%	0	0.00%			5	0.99%
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record 3171 87.36% 459 12.64% 3630 98.86% on any one day without card record 5 11.90% 37 88.10% 42 1.14% Total 3176 86.49% 496 13.51% 3672 RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR>0 DAR=0										
Settlement			RSW		RSS		RGW	Ratio	All	
S	Projected									
Total 3176 86.49% 496 13.51% 3672										98.86%
RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR-0 DAR-0 DAR-0 DAR-0 #REFI 0.00% #REFI 0.0										1.14%
#REFI 0.00% All 0.00%		Total	3176	86.49%	496	13.51%			3672	
#REFI 0.00% All 0.00%										
DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of worker 38.33% 49.15% 40.119 40.119 552 Main reasons for not engaged ^ RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW RSS Ratio RGW RSS Ratio RGW RSS RATIO RA	RGW clair								1	
Retirement Re								0.00%		
RSW RSS RGW All		DAR=0				L		0.00%		
ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers						總計	#REF!		J	
ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers				,						
Retirement 192 43,48% 38 34,48% 230 41,67%			= =::		RSS		RGW	<u> </u>	All	L.,
Retirement 192 43.48% 38 34.48% 230 41.67%	DAR = 0 a		RSW					1		40.11%
Retirement RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry						Ļ		
Retirement RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry							552	
Retirement 192 43.48% 38 34.48% 230 41.67% Could not find work 115 26.09% 42 37.93% 157 28.44% Work hardship 38 8.70% 4 3.45% 42 7.61% Health/family/study issues 77 17.39% 19 17.24% 96 17.39% Not in the industry before 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Others 19 4.35% 8 6.90% 27 4.89%	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers							552	
Could not find work 115 26.09% 42 37.93% 157 28.44% Work hardship 38 8.70% 4 3.45% 42 7.61% Health/family/study issues 77 17.39% 19 17.24% 96 17.39% Not in the industry before 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Others 19 4.35% 8 6.90% 27 4.89%	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers	441	79.89%	111	20.11%			•	
Work hardship 38 8.70% 4 3.45% 42 7.61% Health/family/study issues 77 17.39% 19 17.24% 96 17.39% Not in the industry before 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Others 19 4.35% 8 6.90% 27 4.89%	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^	441 RSW	79.89% Ratio	111 RSS	20.11% Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
Health/family/study issues 77 17.39% 19 17.24% 96 17.39% Not in the industry before 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Others 19 4.35% 8 6.90% 27 4.89%	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^ Retirement	441 RSW 192	79.89% Ratio 43.48%	111 RSS 38	20.11% Ratio 34.48%	RGW	Ratio	All 230	41.67%
Not in the industry before 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Others 19 4.35% 8 6.90% 27 4.89%	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^ Retirement Could not find work	RSW 192 115	79.89% Ratio 43.48% 26.09%	111 RSS 38 42	20.11% Ratio 34.48% 37.93%	RGW	Ratio	All 230 157	28.44%
Others 19 4.35% 8 6.90% 27 4.89%	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^ Retirement Could not find work Work hardship	RSW 192 115 38	79.89% Ratio 43.48% 26.09% 8.70%	1111 RSS 38 42 4	20.11% Ratio 34.48% 37.93% 3.45%	RGW	Ratio	All 230 157 42	28.44% 7.61%
	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^ Retirement Could not find work Work hardship Health/family/study issues	RSW 192 115 38 77	79.89% Ratio 43.48% 26.09% 8.70% 17.39%	RSS 38 42 4 19	20.11% Ratio 34.48% 37.93% 3.45% 17.24%	RGW	Ratio	All 230 157 42 96	28.44% 7.61% 17.39%
	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^ Retirement Could not find work Work hardship Health/familly/study issues Not in the industry before	RSW 192 115 38 77 0	79.89% Ratio 43.48% 26.09% 8.70% 17.39% 0.00%	RSS 38 42 4 19	20.11% Ratio 34.48% 37.93% 3.45% 17.24% 0.00%	RGW	Ratio	All 230 157 42 96 0	28.44% 7.61% 17.39% 0.00%
	DAR = 0 a	ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers main reasons for not engaged ^ Retirement Could not find work Work hardship Health/familly/study issues Not in the industry before	RSW 192 115 38 77 0	79.89% Ratio 43.48% 26.09% 8.70% 17.39% 0.00%	RSS 38 42 4 19	20.11% Ratio 34.48% 37.93% 3.45% 17.24% 0.00%	RGW	Ratio	All 230 157 42 96 0	28.44% 7.61% 17.39%

key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry*

	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
Higher wage	16	50.00%	4	50.00%			20	50.00%
Secured wage	16	50.00%	4	50.00%			20	50.00%

^{*} Small sample size in RSW/RSS. Results shall be interpreted with caution.

** Respondents did not mention clearly if they are bamboo scaffolder or metal scaffolder so the numbers cover both trades.

*** The survey data and registration figures do not include those registered as Scaffolder (Master)