Data Analysis on Registered Workers

Trade : Painter and Decorator

For the period 1/1/2016 to 31/12/2016 (12 months)

Registered	1 Workers	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	Ratio
Registered	start	4248	76.97%	1271	23.03%	KGW	Rallo	5519	Rallo
	end	8259	87.07%	1227	12.93%			9486	
	total renewal	2555	86.58%	396	13.42%			2951	
	new	764	89.25%	92	10.75%			856	
	expired	235	64.38%	130	35.62%			365	
	renewal of expired registration from previous periods	3482	100.17%	-6	-0.17%			3476	
	Teriewal of expired registration from previous periods	3402	100.17 /0	-0	-0.1770			3470	l
DAR > 0		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
		4261	87.32%	619	12.68%			4880	
	against nr. of registered workers	8259	51.59%	1227	50.45%			9486	51.44%
			•		•				
DAR = 0		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
		4040	86.79%	615	13.21%			4655	
	against nr. of registered workers	8259	48.92%	1227	50.12%			9486	49.07%
									1
DAR > 0		RSW		RSS		RGW		All	
	average working days per week	1.53		1.55				1.53	
A D (1)	- (d-t f d-t d (d	111		a all alatha a					
Age Profile	e (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different the								D-6-
	Below 2	RSW 0 0	Ratio 0.00%	RSS 10	Ratio 100.00%	RGW	Ratio	All 10	Ratio 0.11%
	20 - 2		7.36%	302	92.64%			326	3.44%
	30 - 3		79.69%	344	20.31%			1694	17.86%
	30 - 3 40 - 4		91.82%	201	8.18%			2456	25.89%
	40 - 4 50 - 5		93.01%	242	6.99%			3463	36.51%
	60 or abov		91.67%	128	8.33%	 		1537	16.20%
	Tota		87.07%	1227	12.93%			9486	100.00%
	TOR	0200	57.57/0	1661	. 2.00 /0			5-100	/0
Phone Su	rvey conducted from Oct 2016 - Jan 2017 - 1522 samples drawn with 200	successful	interviews						
DAR > 0		RSW		RSS		RGW		All	
	average working days per week	4.42		4.03				4.37	
	with card record	1.57		3.45				1.81	
		_			1				
DAR = 0	working in construction industry		60.00%		76.67%				62.20%
	average working days per week	3.50		4.20				3.59	
	with card record	1.78		3.13				1.96	
									1
DAR Snap		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
	average nr. of workers on any one day	960	82.26%	207	17.74%			1167]
Far DAD :	0	DCW	Detie	DCC	Detie	DCW/	Detie	All	
For DAR >		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio 16.25%	RGW	Ratio	All	
	projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day	2773 985	83.75% 68.12%	538 461	31.88%			3311 1446	40.070/
	with card record without card record	1788	95.87%	77	4.13%			1865	43.67% 56.33%
	without card record	1700	93.67%	- ' '	4.13%	l		1000	30.33%
For DAR =	- 0	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	1
I OI DAIX =	projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry	2424	83.70%	472	16.30%	ROW	Ratio	2896	
	projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day	1212	81.07%	283	18.93%			1495	
	with card record	616	74.49%	211	25.51%			827	55.32%
	without card record	596	89.22%	72	10.78%			668	44.68%
		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
Projected i	nr. of workers engaged in construction industry	6685	85.97%	1091	14.03%			7776	
	on any one day with card record	1601	70.44%	672	29.56%			2273	47.30%
	on any one day without card record	2384	94.12%	149	5.88%			2533	52.70%
	Total	3985	82.92%	821	17.08%			4806	
RGW clair	med to be carrying out work with skill content					RGW		1	
	DAR>0					#REF!	3.04%	1	
	DAR=0				T	#REF!	4.07%	1	
					Total	#REF!		1	
DAD - 0 -	nd NOT engaged in the construction industry	RSW		RSS		RGW		ΔII	ı
DWK = 0 9	ratio not in the construction industry	KOW	40.00%	con	23.33%	KGW		All	37.80%
	projected nr. of workers	1616	91.87%	143		-		17F0	31.60%
	projected iii. 01 Workers	1616	91.07%	143	8.13%	·		1759	l
	main reasons for not engaged ^								
		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
	Retirement	875	54.17%	72	50.00%	<u> </u>		947	53.84%
	Could not find work	471	29.17%	61	42.86%			532	30.24%
	Work hardship	67	4.17%	0	0.00%			67	3.81%
	Not in the industry before	0	0.00%	10	7.14%			10	0.57%
	Health/family/study issues	202	12.50%	0	0.00%			202	11.48%
	<u> </u>								
	key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry ^								
	<u> </u>	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
	Could find work	255	100.00%	41	100.00%			296	16.83%

[^] Small sample size. Result shall be interpreted with caution.