### Data Analysis on Registered Workers

#### For the period 1/2/2015 to 31/1/2016 (12 months)

Levy income during the period (HK\$): Equivalent construction work output (HK\$) 63,555,934 211,853,113,333

Overall - incl. truck drivers										
	RSW		RSS		RGW		All			
(1) registered workers at the end of the reporting period	121405	32.69%	22293	6.00%	227707	61.31%	371405			
	•	•						ļl		
Based on phone survey conducted from March to May 2016:	RSW		RSS		RGW		All			
(2) projected nr. of workers engaged in the construction industry	106730	35.36%	19784	6.56%	175297	58.08%	301811			
(3) projected nr. of workers NOT engaged in the construction industry	15260	21.07%	2685	3.71%	54482	75.22%	72427			
	RSW		RSS		RGW		All			
(4) projected nr. of latent workers who may return to the construction industry	1599		428		10820		12847			
(5) projected nr. of latent workers with higher potential to return to the industry										
Enough work to do	1462		346		8507		10315			
Higher wage	137		82		1198		1417			
Could find work	0		0		454		454			
Could not find other job opportunities	0		0		289 165		289 165			
Better fringe benefits Total	1599		428		10613		12640			
Total	1599		428		10613		12640			
Registered Workers (excluding truck drivers about 16000)	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All *	Ratio
start	85260	25.97%	21011	6.40%	222082	(211393)	67.64%	328353	(317664)	Natio
end	105299	29.64%	22293	6.27%	227707	(216747)	64.09%	355299	(344339)	
total renewal	54015	45.48%	7577	6.38%	57179	(54427)	48.14%	118771	(116019)	
new	6263	12.55%	2270	4.55%	41386	(39394)	82.91%	49919	(47927)	
expired	6304	15.94%	1807	4.57%	31433	(29920)	79.49%	39544	(38031)	
renewal of expired registration from previous periods	20080	121.18%	819	4.94%	-4328	-(4120)	-26.12%	16571	(16779)	
						, ,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DAR > 0	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All *	
	58244	29.83%	15056	7.71%	121950	(118999)	62.46%	195250	(192299)	
against nr. of registered workers	105299	55.31%	22293	67.54%	227707	(216747)	53.56%	355299	(344339)	54.95%
DAR = 0	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All *	
	47564	29.22%	7413	4.55%	107829	(99720)	66.23%	162806	(154697)	
against nr. of registered workers	105299	45.17%	22293	33.25%	227707	(216747)	47.35%	355299	(344339)	45.82%
DAD 0	DOM/		D00		OM//DOM	1+	A II / A II ÷	1		
DAR > 0	RSW		RSS	F	RGW/RGV	<i>I</i> *	All/All*			
average working days per week	2.59		2.46		2.21		2.34			
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different t	hus the nu	mbers may	differ slig	ghtly due to	the dyna	mic nature	of the data	set)		

	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	Ratio
Below 20	3	0.00%	143	0.64%	1099	0.48%	1245	0.35%
20 - 29	2544	2.42%	6121	27.46%	44607	19.59%	53272	14.99%
30 - 39	16454	15.63%	5417	24.30%	40993	18.00%	62864	17.69%
40 - 49	26310	24.99%	4386	19.67%	54165	23.79%	84861	23.88%
50 - 59	40098	38.08%	4207	18.87%	57363	25.19%	101668	28.61%
60 or above	19890	18.89%	2020	9.06%	29481	12.95%	51391	14.46%
Total	105299	100.00%	22294	100.00%	227708	100.00%	355301	100.00%

## Phone Survey conducted from March to May 2016 - 39,960 samples drawn from RGW and 24 trades with 9,741 successful interviews

DAR	>	0	

average working days per week with card record

DAR = 0 working in construction industry

average working day	ys per week
with card record	

# DAR Snapshot

average nr. of workers on any one day

For DAR > 0

projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record without card record

#### For DAR = 0

projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record

without card record

of workers engaged in construction industr Projected

nr. of workers engaged in construction industry
on any one day with card record
on any one day without card record
Total

RSW	RSS	RGW	All
4.81	5.01	4.39	4.57
4.24	4.59	4.21	4.25

	73.76%		63.78%		49.47%		58.17%
4.73		4.59		4.89		4.82	
4.22		4.25		4.15		4.18	

20862         28.94%         5884         8.16%         45333         (44236)         62.89%         72079         (70982)	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All "	i
	20862	28.94%	5884	8.16%	45333	(44236)	62.89%	72079	(70982)	

RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All *	
38743	27.53%	11992	8.52%	89997	(87820)	63.95%	140732	(138555)	
34125	25.95%	10976	8.35%	86391	(84300)	65.70%	131492	(129401)	93.43%
4618	49.98%	1016	11.00%	3606	(3520)	39.03%	9240	(9154)	6.57%

RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All *	
35085	37.66%	4728	5.08%	53347	(49335)	57.26%	93160	(89148)	
23705	36.99%	3097	4.83%	37277	(34473)	58.17%	64079	(61275)	
21148	37.98%	2874	5.16%	31660	(29279)	56.86%	55682	(53301)	86.90%
2557	30.45%	223	2.66%	5617	(5194)	66.89%	8397	(7974)	13.10%
					•		•	•	

RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	RGW*	Ratio	All	All *	
93329	32.36%	19784	6.86%	175297	(168334)	60.78%	288410	(281447)	
55273	29.53%	13850	7.40%	118051	(113579)	63.07%	187174	(182702)	91.39%
7175	40.68%	1239	7.03%	9223	(8714)	52.29%	17637	(17128)	8.61%
62448	30.49%	15089	7.37%	127274	(122293)	62.14%	204811	(199830)	

RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR>0

DAR>0		60178**	49.35%
top 10 trades			
Electrical Fitter		7486	6.14%
Plasterer		6375	5.23%
Plumber		4878	4.00%
Painter and Decorator		4443	3.64%
Metal Worker		4395	3.60%
Carpenter (Formwork)		4154	3.41%
Leveller		3767	3.09%
Refrigeration/Air-conditioning/ Ventilation Mech	anic	3671	3.01%
Glazier		3188	2.61%
General Welder		2705	2.22%

DAR=0 31347\*\* 58.76% top 10 trad<u>es</u> Electrical Fitter
Painter and Decorator
General Welder
Refrigeration/Air-conditioning/ Ventilation Mechanic 6269 11.75% 5181 9.71% 2478 4.64% 2403 4.50% 1802 1352 Mechanical Fitter 3.38% Fire Service Mechanic 2.53% 1276 2.39% 2.18% 2.04% Plumber Leveller
Plasterer
Carpenter (Formwork) 1164 1089

DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry	RSW		RSS		RGW		All	
ratio not in the construction industry		26.24%		36.22%		50.53%		41.83%
projected nr. of workers	12479	17.92%	2685	3.86%	54482	78.23%	69646	
main reasons for not engaged	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
Retirement	5903	47.31%	379	14.11%	661	1.21%	6943	9.97%
Could not find work	4857	38.92%	1581	58.90%	25072	46.02%	31510	45.24%
Health/family/study issues	1196	9.58%	239	8.90%	10492	19.26%	11927	17.13%
Work hardship	262	2.10%	140	5.21%	5287	9.70%	5689	8.17%
Not suitable/no interest	187	1.50%	280	10.43%	9005	16.53%	9472	13.60%
Wage low/not secured	37	0.30%	16	0.61%	826	1.52%	879	1.26%
Not in the industry before	37	0.30%	25	0.92%	4089	7.51%	4151	5.96%
Could not/late receive wage	0	0.00%	8	0.31%	702	1.29%	710	1.02%
Work hazardous	0	0.00%	8	0.31%	454	0.83%	462	0.66%

key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry

	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	
Could find work	1196	91.43%	346	80.77%	8507	78.63%	10049	80.03%
Higher wage	112	8.57%	82	19.23%	1198	11.07%	1392	11.09%
Secured wage	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	454	4.20%	454	3.62%
Better fringe benefits	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	289	2.67%	289	2.30%
Enough work to do	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	165	1.53%	165	1.31%

0.00% 8 0.31% 248

0.45% 256 0.37%

RSW : Registered Skilled Worker RSS : Registered Semi-skilled Worker RGW : Registered General Worker DAR: Daily attendance record

Old age

<sup>\*\*=</sup> exclude those not carrying out construction work such as security, safety, clerical personnel, etc.

\*\*=About 17,000 RGW worked independently (DAR>0 + DAR=0)